

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/687,124 | 1 | 10/16/2003 | Richard E. Fackenthal | ITL.1046US (P17448) | 7345 | |
| 21906 | 7590 | 10/30/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TROP PRU | | • | | LAMARRE, GUY J | | |
| 1616 S. VOS HOUSTON | | SUITE 750 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| HOOSTON | 1X //0 | 37-2031 | | 2133 | 2133 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/687,124 | FACKENTHAL, RICHARD E. | | | | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Guy J. Lamarre | 2133 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | V 10 057 70 5VDIDE - 1401/71 | (0) 00 THET (00) DAY | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/14 | <u>1/2006</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application | ١. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are | | d to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received in Applicat | tion No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have been receiv | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | , ,,, | | | | | |
| * \$ | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not receiv | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal | vate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Response to Amendment

1. The prior art rejections of record are maintained in response to Applicants' amendment.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive because Applicants allege that the prior art of record does not teach error correction capability based on the number of bits per cell (Claims 1, 15, 29, 38).

Examiner disagrees and notes that:

- a. such language 'error correction capability based on the number of bits per cell' is not recited as a claim limitation. 'Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).'
- b. the prior art of record does not limit error correction capability exclusively to worst cell error probability.

Examiner further notes that Applicants concede that the prior art of record does teach error correction capability based on worst cell error probability wherein the operating mode is effected with the highest number of bits per cells. Error correction capability is thus performed based on selection of bit cell density (highest number of bits per cells) as claimed.

Examiner thus maintains that the Claims at bar, in their current form, are not distinguished over the prior art of record, namely **Gregori**.

Conclusion

. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/687,124 Page 2 of 2

Art Unit: 2133

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3826. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert

De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E Primary Examiner 10/27/2006